arXiv:1608.02881v1 [math.CO] 8 Aug 2016

A BADLY EXPANDING SET ON THE 2-TORUS

RENE RÜHR

ABSTRACT. We give a counterexample to a conjecture stated in [LL06] regarding expansion on $\mathbb{T}^2$ under $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1&0\\1&1\end{smallmatrix}\right]$.

Let Σ_{D} be the set containing the linear transformation $\sigma_1 = \left[\begin{smallmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ and its transpose $\sigma_2 = \left[\begin{smallmatrix}1&0\\1&1\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, and let $\Sigma_{\mathrm{U}} = \Sigma_{\mathrm{D}} \cup \Sigma_{\mathrm{D}}^{-1}$, adding the inverses of σ_1 and σ_2. Using these transformations, Linial and London [LL06] studied an infinite 4-regular expander graph, showing the following expansion property: For any bounded measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of the plane, one has

$$m\left(A \cup \bigcup_{\sigma\in\Sigma_{\mathrm{U}}} \sigma(A)\right) \geq 2m(A) \text{ and } m\left(A \cup \bigcup_{\sigma\in\Sigma_{\mathrm{D}}} \sigma(A)\right) \geq \frac{4}{3}m(A) \tag{1}$$

where m denotes the Lebesgue measure of a set and the bounds are sharp. Note that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{U}} \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and thus its elements also act on $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, and this action is measure preserving with respect to the induced probability measure $m_{\mathbb{T}^2}$ on $\mathbb{T}^2$. It was conjectured in [LL06] and in [HLW06][Conjecture 4.5] that there is a constant $c > 0$ such that for $A \subset \mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ with $m_{\mathbb{T}^2}(A) \leq c$ the estimate of line (1) with $m_{\mathbb{T}^2}$ in place of m holds. Below we give a simple counterexample to this conjecture. Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ denote the natural projection map. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and define

$$C_U = \pi(\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| \leq \varepsilon \text{ or } |y| \leq \varepsilon\}).$$

and

$$C_D = C_U \cup \pi(\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x + y| \leq \varepsilon\}).$$

These sets are of arbitrary small measure as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and satisfy

Claim. $m_{\mathbb{T}^2}\left(C_U \cup \bigcup_{\sigma\in\Sigma_{\mathrm{U}}} \sigma(C_U)\right) < 2m_{\mathbb{T}^2}(C_U)$ and $m_{\mathbb{T}^2}\left(C_D \cup \bigcup_{\sigma\in\Sigma_{\mathrm{U}}} \sigma(C_D)\right) < \frac{4}{3}m_{\mathbb{T}^2}(C_U)$.

Proof. The following picture depicts the set C_U in red and the image under Σ_{U} in blue. We note that the overlapping triangles outside the square are to be seen modulo 1, thus wrap up and do not amount to additional mass.



The area of C_U is $4\varepsilon - (2\varepsilon)^2$. The set $\bigcup_{\sigma\in\Sigma_{\mathrm{U}}} \sigma(C_U)$ overlaps C_U in the square of area $(2\varepsilon)^2$ and the 8 adjacent triangles each of area $\varepsilon^2/2$. At the corners of the torus, we have additional 4 overlapping triangles of the same size (note that we only have to remove half of the 8 drawn triangles to match the fact that we are subtracting from the

Date: August 10, 2016.

area of 2 2ε-thick (sheared) rectangles, and not 4). This amounts to a total area not contained in C_U of $4\varepsilon - (2\varepsilon)^2 - 4\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon^2 = 4\varepsilon - 10\varepsilon^2 < 4\varepsilon - 4\varepsilon^2$.

In the following picture of C_D, we did not draw the image of $\pi(\{|x+y| \leq \varepsilon\})$ as it is mapped to C_U under Σ_{D}.



We see that $m_{\mathbb{T}^2}(C_D) = 6\varepsilon - 10\varepsilon^2$, as the diagonal overlaps of area $(2\varepsilon)^2 + \varepsilon^2$ with C_U and has two triangles each of area $\varepsilon^2/2$ cut off at the corners of the torus.

The image consists of the single other diagonal and accounts for $2\varepsilon - ((2\varepsilon)^2 + \varepsilon^2) - \varepsilon^2 = 2\varepsilon - 6\varepsilon^2$ mass, which is less than $\frac{1}{3} m_{\mathbb{T}^2}(C_D) = 2\varepsilon - \frac{10}{3}\varepsilon^2$.

□

Remark. We note that it is possible to shift C_U by $(1/2, 1/2)$ to have a set with support bounded away from $(0,0) + \mathbb{Z}^2$ and still badly expanding.



Remark. The expanding properties on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbb{T}^2$ in [LL06] are considered to be the continuous analogue of the expander family $\mathbb{Z}^2/(p\mathbb{Z})^2 - \{(0,0)\}$ with adjacency relations given by Σ_U for p prime. These are indeed expanders by Selberg's 3/16-theorem on the spectral gap of congruence subgroups in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ (see e.g. the first bullet point after [HLW06][Proposition 11.17]). One can of course formulate the analogous question of line (1), and ask whether for a sufficently small subset A of $\mathbb{Z}^2/(p\mathbb{Z})^2 - \{(0,0)\}$ one has $\left|A \cup \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathrm{U}}} \sigma(A)\right| \geq 2|A|$ (and similarly in the case of the directed graph using relations Σ_D). This translates to the vertex isoperimetric parameter (see [HLW06][Section 4.6]). The set $(\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z})/(p\mathbb{Z})^2 - \{(0,0)\}$ satisfies the bound with equality (and we have the analogous statement for the directed graph).

References

[HLW06] Shlomo Hoory, Nathan Linial, and Avi Wigderson. Expander graphs and their applications. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 43(4):439–561 (electronic), 2006.

[LL06] Nathan Linial and Eran London. On the expansion rate of Margulis expanders. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 96(3):436–442, 2006.